

**MARITIME DISRUPTIONS IN THE AUSTRALIAN-
INDONESIAN WHEAT SUPPLY CHAIN:
AN ANALYSIS OF RISK ASSESSMENT AND
MITIGATION STRATEGIES**

RAJA OLOAN SAUT GURNING

ST (Sarjana Teknik/ Bachelor Engineering), M.Sc (Master of Science)

**Submitted in fulfilment of the
Requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy**

**AUSTRALIAN MARITIME COLLEGE
AS AN INSTITUTE OF UNIVERSITY OF TASMANIA**

JULY, 21st, 2011

DECLARATION OF ORIGINALITY

The thesis contains no material which has been accepted for a degree or diploma by the University or any other institution, except by way of background information and duly acknowledged in the thesis, and to be the best of the candidate's knowledge and belief no material previously published or written by another person except where due to acknowledgement is made in the text of the text.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Saut Gurning', written over a horizontal line.

.....Saut Gurning, 21st of July 2011

STATEMENT OF AUTHORITY OF ACCESS

This thesis may be made available for loan and limited copying in accordance with the *Copyright Act 1968*.

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to be 'Saut Gurning', written over a horizontal line.

.....Saut Gurning, 21st of July 2011

ABSTRACT

Maritime operations perform a global interface function connecting international, regional and domestic supply chain networks within a transportation and distribution platform. Due to the pivotal role of trade, maritime operations have the potential to generate wide-scale disruptive effects along supply chains. Basically, various unwanted internal and external factors that create uncertainty and severe negative consequences in the maritime leg can be defined as maritime disruptions. This includes risks associated with safety and security, the environment, infrastructure, markets, organisation, and leadership factors. However, the short and long-term effects of maritime disruptions do not appear to be widely understood or in some cases even considered by supply chain entities. By exploring and understanding the causes and effects of maritime disruptions, supply chain entities may be better prepared to manage the challenges presented by maritime disruptions and recognising the benefits of developing disruption management strategies.

Due to the globalisation of wheat supply chains, the increased risk of maritime disruption has become a major limiting factor in the efficient movement of wheat from producers (wheat farmers) to global end consumers. This is also evident in the wheat supply chain between Australia and Indonesia, which is the context of this research. Despite wheat being one of the dominant seaborne trade commodities between the two countries, the wheat supply chain is complex because it utilises international shipping (ports in Australia to Indonesia) and the domestic maritime chain (via inter-island shipping in Indonesia). This thesis argues that the maritime leg of the wheat supply chain creates increased operational risks among entities in the wheat supply chain between the two countries. Therefore, the thesis focuses on one major research question: *Does the maritime leg contribute to disruptions in the wheat supply chain between Australia and Indonesia?*

To further examine this research question three sub-research questions are explored:

- (i) *Are shippers and consignees aware of the disruptions that may occur in the maritime leg of the Australian-Indonesian wheat supply chain?*
- (ii) *Are shippers and consignees in the Australian-Indonesian wheat supply chain implementing supply risk assessments or mitigation strategies to minimise the maritime disruption events?*
- (iii) *Are current risk mitigation and detection processes in maritime operations effective in the Australian-Indonesian wheat supply chain systems?*

To address the above research questions, the study uses both quantitative and qualitative research approaches. These combined methods analyse the stages of disruptive events in maritime operations and identifies direct and indirect driving factors. The sample for the study consists of senior managers in the wheat supply chain from both Australia and Indonesia because of their key involvement in the decision making process after disruptions occur and when disruption management strategies are developed. The senior managers were interviewed via telephone using a structured questionnaire to obtain information on their perceptions of the risk of disruption, detailed processes of disruption discovery and recovery, and the probability levels of various disruption management scenario assessments. An overall response rate of 68 per cent (34 respondents) was achieved with each in-depth telephone interview averaging 32 minutes with a range of 15 to 90 minutes.

Data analysis is conducted in two stages. The first stage analyses the time and financial costs along the wheat supply chain of maritime disruptions in terms of probability, consequences, frequency rate and propagation effects both in Australia and Indonesia, including the role of third and fourth party logistics in both creating and managing maritime disruptions. In this stage, previous disruption management strategies during the three stages of maritime disruption: pre-, during and post-disruption are explored. The study finds the existence of 40 different disruptions in the wheat supply chain of which 17 disruptive events dominantly occur in the Australian-Indonesian wheat supply chain. The study also reveals that mitigation, adaptation, coordination and intervention are supply chain risk management strategies that are normally

implemented by entities in managing maritime disruptions along the wheat supply chain.

In the second stage, the Markov chain process was used as the prime means to evaluate the disruption management strategies based on four major business scenarios such as contingency plan, flexible inventory strategy, business continuity management, and recovery planning. Compared to other statistical methods, the Markov process enables the prediction of future consequences of maritime disruptions given a previous probability level that involves constantly changing occurrences of maritime disruptive events. In addition, the Markov decision process (MDP) combines. As a result of the MDP analysis, multi-disruption management scenarios are recommended to optimise financial and time costs of strategies implemented when maritime disruptions occur. The study also finds that farmers and final consumers are entities that are highly likely to experience maritime disruptions along the wheat supply chain, as the consequences of disruptions in the chain are passed on to them.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Conducting this research study is not possible without the help and support of a range of individuals and institutions. Firstly, I want to thank my main supervisor, Dr. Stephen Cahoon. The knowledge, passion and guidance of my esteemed supervisor are gratefully acknowledged here at AMC. The time we have spent together has resulted in a wonderful learning experience for me, – thanks a million Stephen. I would like also to thank Dr.Hong-Oanh (Owen) Nguyen, as my second supervisor. Dr Nguyen has kept me on track for the duration of my studies and has always shown an interest and understanding in my chosen subject. My sincere gratitude goes also to Dr. Devinder Grewal my former supervisor for his key role in facilitating my entry into AMC and stimulated my initial interest in studying the wheat transport for this PhD study.

The faculty and staff in the Department of Maritime and Logistics Management at AMC Dr. Peggy Chen, Dr. Jiangang Fei , Hilary Haugstetter, Peter Cain, Prashant Bhaskar, Colin Kittel, Claire Gregory, Lee-Anne Britcliffe, Heather Hepburn, Jackie Evans, Dr. Elizabeth Vagg, Dianne Hazelwood, Capt. John Lloyd ,and John Francis have all been extremely supportive over my three and half years and literally teaching me so many things I need to know about conducting research and productive academia atmosphere. Your kindness and grateful assistance will always be remembered.

To my research colleagues Indika Sigera, Hai Thanh Tran, Imanuel Dindin and Quazi Sakalayen in the Department of Maritime and Logistics Management, and from the Engineering group of Sinshuke Matsubara, Dr. Vikram Garaniya, Dr.Cing Bong, and around the postgraduate corridor of Australian Maritime College. Special thanks also goes to Dr. Ben Brooks for his advice, understanding, and most importantly his calming influence when things were the most challenging.

Without the support of Asosiasi Pengusaha Tepung Terigu Indonesia (APTINDO) and Australian Wheat Board providing information, contacts, and learning opportunity, this study would get a good progress. Special thanks Mr. Ian Faquar who has introduced me to such a valuable network in Australia as well as Ibu Ratna Sari Loppies who shared her ideas and experiences in the Indonesian wheat industry. I wish to thank to Brian

Cole, Peter Horsh, Barry Robinson, Diana Hollins, Andrea Cass, Ferry Kissi, Eka Kissi, Brian and Maree Cole and Joy Marmion who have contributed in my writing stage and warm friendship in Launceston. Many thanks should I give also to Prof Djauhar Manfaat, Pak Alam Baheramsyah, Pak Dwi Priyanta and Dr. Tri Achmadi who have fully supported me during my last session in finishing the study at ITS. Your attention and concern have encouraged me.

My wife and sons deserved special mention. The journey of my study would not have been possible without the loving and faithfully support of my wife, Fransiska Hutahaeen. May God always bless you in your life especially for the next dreaming you want to after. My love and thanks also for my sons: David and Abednego who have motivated me.

Lastly but the most important one, I would like to praise my Lord, who let me passing this journey till the ending stage. I am so weak, but His so strong, and provides everything I need.

GLOSSARY

AWB	Australian Wheat Board
ABARE	Australian Bureau of Agricultural and Resource Economics
APTINDO	Asosiasi Pengusaha Tepung Terigu Indonesia
APW	Australian Premium White
AQIS	Australian Quarantine and Inspection Service
ASW	Australian Standard White
BPS	Badan Pusat Statistik
BMG	Badan Meterologi, Klimatologi dan Geofisika
BULOG	Badan Urusan Logistik
CIF	Cost Insurance Freight
DEPDAG	Departemen Perdagangan
DFAT	Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade
FOB	Free On Board
HUBLA	Perhubungan Laut
ITS	Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember Surabaya
MT	Million-Tonnes
SME	Small and Medium Enterprise
TEU	Twenty equivalent units
WEA	Wheat Export Authority
3 P/L	Third Party Logistics
4 P/L	Fourth Party Logistics
SWN	Standard wheat noodle
WEA	Wheat Exports Australia

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION OF ORIGINALITY	ii
STATEMENT OF AUTHORITY OF ACCESS	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	vii
GLOSSARY	ix
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	x
LIST OF TABLES	xvii
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Background.....	2
1.2 The wheat supply chain as a multifaceted chain structure.....	5
1.3 Australian-Indonesian wheat supply chain.....	6
1.4 Research questions and research objectives	7
1.4.1 Research questions	7
1.4.2 Research objectives	8
1.5 Organisation of the thesis	9
CHAPTER TWO: THE AUSTRALIAN INDONESIAN WHEAT SUPPLY CHAIN	11
2.1 Introduction	12
2.2 A generic wheat supply chain.....	12
2.3 The trade structure between Australia and Indonesia.....	14
2.3.1 Categories of wheat.....	17
2.3.2 The major wheat handlers in Australia and Indonesia	19
2.3.3 Functionality and behaviour of wheat marketing bodies	22
2.4 Maritime service providers and wheat trading terms.....	24
2.5 The impact of maritime disruptions on the wheat price	28
2.6 Summary.....	33

CHAPTER THREE: MARITIME DISRUPTIONS IN A WHEAT SUPPLY

CHAIN	34
3.1 Introduction	35
3.2 Disruption framework of supply chain risk	35
3.2.1 Disruption stages	40
3.2.2 The cycle process of a disruption	41
3.2.3 Maritime disruptions	45
3.3 The drivers of supply chain risks in the maritime leg.....	47
3.3.1 Severe weather conditions.....	52
3.3.2 Security issues	54
3.3.3 Port strikes.....	55
3.3.4 Port congestion.....	57
3.3.5 Earthquakes	59
3.3.6 Political events	60
3.3.7 Port related equipment	60
3.3.8 Customs clearance.....	61
3.4 Disruption management process.....	62
3.4.1 Definition of mitigation approach	62
3.4.2 Mitigation approach in disruption management.....	65
3.4.2.1 <i>Inventory and sourcing</i>	68
3.4.2.2 <i>Contingency rerouting</i>	69
3.4.2.3 <i>Business continuity</i>	70
3.4.2.4 <i>Recovery planning</i>	70
3.4.3 Disruption management approach in the transportation processes	71
3.5 Supply chain risk management in the wheat chain processes	73
3.6 Maritime disruption analysis using the Markov process	77
3.6.1 The application of the Markov chain	78
3.6.2 Maritime risk approach by Markov chain	81
3.7 Propagation scenario.....	85
3.7.1 The concept of propagation effect on the supply chain network.....	86
3.7.2 Propagation effect based on Markov process.....	88
3.8 Summary.....	90

CHAPTER FOUR : RESEARCH METHODOLOGY.....92

4.1 Introduction	92
------------------------	----

4.2	Research design	93
4.3	Research approach.....	96
4.3.1	Survey approach.....	99
4.3.2	Telephone interviews	100
4.4	Data collection.....	100
4.4.1	Secondary data	102
4.4.1	Primary data	104
4.4.2	Data assessment.....	104
4.5	Population and sample generation.....	106
4.5.1	Population frame	106
4.5.2	Area frames	107
4.5.3	Sampling frames.....	109
4.6	Survey development and administering.....	111
4.6.1	The objectives of the maritime disruption survey	112
4.6.2	Survey questions and measurement scales.....	112
4.6.3	Pre-testing	115
4.6.4	Survey development.....	117
4.6.5	Administering the telephone survey.....	119
4.7	The error control process of the telephone interview	121
4.7.1	Measurement error	122
4.7.2	Induced bias	122
4.8	Summary.....	123
CHAPTER FIVE: RESULTS OF THE RESEARCH SURVEY.....		125
5.1	Introduction	126
5.2	The characteristics of survey respondents	127
5.2.1	The response rate of the telephone interviews	127
5.2.2	Respondent profiles.....	130
5.2.3	Question routing.....	131
5.3	Risk perception of maritime disruption risk	132
5.3.1	Risk categories and stages in the wheat supply chain	132
5.3.2	Instigating factors.....	135
5.3.2.1	<i>Security and safety related factors</i>	<i>135</i>
5.3.2.2	<i>Service and infrastructure related factors.....</i>	<i>136</i>
5.3.2.3	<i>Market related factors.....</i>	<i>139</i>
5.3.2.4	<i>Organisation related factors</i>	<i>141</i>

5.3.2.5	<i>Environmental related factors</i>	142
5.3.3	Interdependent factors	143
5.3.4	Leadership factors	145
5.3.5	Progressive factors	147
5.4	Descriptive data on maritime disruptions	150
5.4.1	Frequency of maritime disruptive events	150
5.4.2	The operational impact of maritime disruptive events	151
5.4.3	The consequence value of maritime disruptive events	156
5.4.5	Probability level of maritime disruptive events	158
5.4.6	The cycles of maritime disruptions	161
5.4.6.1	<i>General disruption process</i>	161
5.4.6.2	<i>Congestion due to equipment breakdown</i>	162
5.4.6.3	<i>Port stoppages due to weather factors</i>	164
5.4.6.4	<i>Disruption due to earthquake</i>	165
5.4.6.5	<i>Disruptions due the shortage of dry bulk fleet</i>	165
5.5	General maritime disruption management process	167
5.5.1	The detection period of maritime disruptive events	167
5.5.2	Approach to discovering maritime disruptive events	168
5.5.3	Maritime disruption recovery actions	168
5.6	Strategies implemented in managing maritime disruptions	172
5.6.1	Mitigation strategies	173
5.6.2	Adaptation strategies	177
5.6.3	Coordination strategies	180
5.6.4	The intervention response	182
5.7	The analysis of disruption management strategies	187
5.7.1	The preference strategies for managing maritime disruption	188
5.7.2	Correlation factors of managing disruptions on commercial impacts	190
5.8	Summary	193
CHAPTER SIX :_DISRUPTION MANAGEMENT SCENARIO		197
6.1	Introduction	198
6.2	The general assessment of the Markov chain process	199
6.3	Data collection for the assessment process	201
6.4	Markovian approach of disruption management assessment	205
6.4.1	Basic framework of disruption management assessment	205
6.4.2	Transition states of wheat supply chain of the case	212

6.4.3	Multi-state scenarios of maritime disruption management	213
6.4.4	Formulating the optimised disruption management strategies	216
6.4.5	Algorithm for optimised mitigation scenario	218
6.4.6	Optimised strategies of the case	219
6.5	Propagation effect in the wheat supply chain	221
6.5.1	Propagation state definition.....	221
6.5.2	Formulating the propagation matrix.....	222
6.5.3	Probabilities prediction of propagation of maritime disruption	224
6.5.4	Propagation evaluation of the case.....	226
6.6	The assessment result	227
6.7	Summary.....	232
CHAPTER SEVEN : SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION		234
7.1	Introduction	235
7.2	Summary of results	235
7.3	Study limitations.....	241
7.3.1	Participants: selection bias and manager desirability.....	241
7.3.2	Generalisability	241
7.4	Suggestions and recommendations for future research	242
REFERENCES.....		245
APPENDIX A : SURVEY INSTRUMENT		287
APPENDIX B : PRETESTING LETTER.....		304
APPENDIX C : RESPONSE CARDS		307
APPENDIX D : ADVANCE LETTER.....		315
APPENDIX E : PARTICIPANT INFORMATION SHEET		320
APPENDIX F : CONFIRMATORY LETTER.....		324
APPENDIX G: DATA ANALYSIS		327
APPENDIX H : SCENARIO ANALYSIS.....		342
APPENDIX I : PRESENTED PAPERS		345